1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2 3	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	FRANK J. RIEBLI (CSBN 221152) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6935		
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 Frank.Riebli@usdoj.gov		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
0	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
1	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. CR 13-558 RS	
4	Plaintiff,	) ) STIPULATION AND ) [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ]	
15	v.	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME	
16	GEORGE JAMES LOVRIN,		
17	Defendants.	) )	
18	Defendants.	) )	
19			
20	On October 1, 2013, at 2:00 p.m., defendant George James LOVRIN made his first appearance		
21	before the District Court through counsel. The parties agreed to return for a status hearing on November		
22	19, 2013 at 2:30 p.m. LOVRIN recently obtained new counsel, and they made their first appearance on		
23	October 1, 2013. As of the time of that hearing, LOVRIN's new counsel had not yet obtained discovery		
24	from his prior counsel. The parties agree that LOVRIN's new counsel require time to review the		
25	discovery and discuss it with their client. Therefore, the parties agreed that time should be excluded		
26	between October 1, 2013 and November 19, 2013 in order to ensure the effective preparation of counsel		
27	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
28	The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best		
	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-558 RS		

## Case 313 cr 000 Page 20 622

1	interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.	
2	SO STIPULATED.	
3	DATED: October 2, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
4 5		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
6		/s/
7		FRANK J. RIEBLI Assistant United States Attorney
8		
9		/- /: 11
0		/s/with permission SARA RIEF
11		Attorney for Martin Bucio-Ayala
12		
13	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from October 1, 2013	
14	through and including November 19, 2013 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the	
15	continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §	
16	3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective	
17	preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
18	SO ORDERED.	201181
19	DATED: October <u>2</u> , 2013.	HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
20		United States District Court Judge
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-558 RS